

# A NOTE ON COMPLEX MATRIX REPRESENTATIONS OF ASSOCIATION SCHEMES

AKIHIDE HANAOKI

ABSTRACT. I write this note for a supplement of Higman's paper [1]. Especially, we prove Frobenius-Schur Theorem for association schemes. This note contains no new result.

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

We denote by  $\bar{\alpha}$  the complex conjugate of a complex number or a complex matrix  $\alpha$ . The transposition of a matrix  $M$  will be denoted by  $M^\top$ . The  $(i, j)$ -entry of a matrix  $M$  will be denoted by  $M_{ij}$ . For a complex matrix  $M$ , we denote by  $M^*$  the Hermitian adjoint of  $M$ , namely  $M^* = \overline{M^\top}$ . By  $E$ , we denote the identity matrix. Vectors in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  are row vectors. The standard inner product of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  will be denoted by  $\langle x, y \rangle = xy^*$ .

Always  $(X, S)$  is an association scheme. For a representation  $\Phi : \mathbb{C}S \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$  of  $(X, S)$  and a non-singular matrix  $P$ , we denote by  $P^{-1}\Phi P$  the representation of  $(X, S)$  defined by  $\sigma_s \mapsto P^{-1}\Phi(\sigma_s)P$ .

## 2. \*-REPRESENTATIONS

We often consider the condition

$$\Phi(\sigma_{s^*}) = \Phi(\sigma_s)^* \quad \text{for all } s \in S.$$

We call this condition *\*-condition* and a representation with this condition a *\*-representation*.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $\Phi : \mathbb{C}S \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$  be an irreducible representation of an association scheme  $(X, S)$ . Then there is a \*-representation  $\Psi$  of  $(X, S)$  which is similar to  $\Phi$ .*

To prove Proposition 2.1, we put

$$A = \sum_{s \in S} \frac{1}{n_s} \Phi(\sigma_s) \Phi(\sigma_s)^*.$$

**Lemma 2.2.** *The matrix  $A$  is a positive definite Hermitian matrix.*

*Proof.* By definition, it is clear that  $A$  is a positive semidefinite Hermitian matrix. Suppose  $xAx^* = 0$  for  $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . Since  $\Phi(\sigma_s)\Phi(\sigma_s)^*$  ( $s \in S$ ) are positive semidefinite, we have  $x\Phi(\sigma_s) = 0$  for all  $s \in S$ . Since  $\Phi$  is irreducible,  $\Phi(\sigma_s)$  ( $s \in S$ ) span  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . Thus we have  $x = 0$ . Now  $A$  is positive definite.  $\square$

---

*Date:* September 27, 2016, revised June 1, 2017.

**Lemma 2.3.** *For every  $t \in S$ ,  $\Phi(\sigma_{t^*})A = A\Phi(\sigma_t)^*$  holds.*

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\sigma_{t^*})A &= \sum_{s \in S} \frac{1}{n_s} \Phi(\sigma_{t^*})\Phi(\sigma_s)\Phi(\sigma_s)^* = \sum_{s \in S} \sum_{u \in S} \frac{1}{n_s} p_{t^*s}^u \Phi(\sigma_u)\Phi(\sigma_s)^*, \\ A\Phi(\sigma_t)^* &= \sum_{s \in S} \frac{1}{n_s} \Phi(\sigma_s)\Phi(\sigma_s)^*\Phi(\sigma_t)^* = \sum_{s \in S} \frac{1}{n_s} \Phi(\sigma_s)\Phi(\sigma_t\sigma_s)^* \\ &= \sum_{s \in S} \sum_{u \in S} \frac{1}{n_s} p_{ts}^u \Phi(\sigma_s)\Phi(\sigma_u)^* = \sum_{s \in S} \sum_{u \in S} \frac{1}{n_u} p_{u^*t}^{s^*} \Phi(\sigma_s)\Phi(\sigma_u)^* \\ &= \sum_{s \in S} \sum_{u \in S} \frac{1}{n_u} p_{t^*u}^s \Phi(\sigma_s)\Phi(\sigma_u)^*. \end{aligned}$$

The equation holds.  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 2.1.* By Lemma 2.2, there is a non-singular square matrix  $B$  such that  $A = BB^*$ . By Lemma 2.3, we have  $\Phi(\sigma_{t^*})BB^* = BB^*\Phi(\sigma_t)^*$ , and so  $B^{-1}\Phi(\sigma_{t^*})B = B^*\Phi(\sigma_t)^*(B^*)^{-1} = (B^{-1}\Phi(\sigma_t)B)^*$  for all  $t \in S$ . Then  $\Psi = B^{-1}\Phi B$  satisfies the required condition.  $\square$

**Remark.** For an irreducible representation  $\Phi : \mathbb{C}S \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$ , we suppose that  $\Phi(\sigma_s) \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$  for all  $s \in S$ . Then there is a  $*$ -representation  $\Psi$  which is similar to  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi(\sigma_s) \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$  for all  $s \in S$ .

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $\Phi : \mathbb{C}S \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$  be a representation of an association scheme  $(X, S)$ . Then there is a  $*$ -representation  $\Psi$  of  $(X, S)$  which is similar to  $\Phi$ .*

*Proof.* Consider an irreducible decomposition of  $\Phi$  and apply Proposition 2.1.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  be similar  $*$ -representations of an association scheme  $(X, S)$ . Then there exists a unitary matrix  $U$  such that  $\Psi = U^{-1}\Phi U$ .*

The proof will be done by some steps.

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  be similar irreducible  $*$ -representations of an association scheme  $(X, S)$ . Then there exists a unitary matrix  $U$  such that  $\Psi = U^{-1}\Phi U$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  are similar, there is a non-singular matrix  $P$  such that  $\Psi = P^{-1}\Phi P$ . Now  $\Psi(\sigma_s) = P^{-1}\Phi(\sigma_s)P$  and so  $\Psi(\sigma_{s^*}) = \Psi(\sigma_s)^* = P^*\Phi(\sigma_{s^*})(P^{-1})^* = P^*\Phi(\sigma_{s^*})(P^*)^{-1}$ . Also we have  $\Psi(\sigma_{s^*}) = P^{-1}\Phi(\sigma_{s^*})P$ . Therefore  $(PP^*)^{-1}\Phi(\sigma_{s^*})(PP^*) = \Phi(\sigma_{s^*})$  for all  $s \in S$ . Since  $\Phi(\sigma_{s^*})$  ( $s \in S$ ) span the full matrix algebra,  $PP^* = \alpha E$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ . Since  $PP^*$  is positive definite,  $\alpha$  must be a positive real number. Put  $U = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}}P$ , then  $U$  is unitary and  $\Psi = U^{-1}\Phi U$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $\Phi$  be a reducible  $*$ -representation of an association scheme  $(X, S)$ . Then there exists a unitary matrix  $U$  such that*

$$U^{-1}\Phi(\sigma_s)U = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_1(\sigma_s) & \\ & \Phi_2(\sigma_s) \end{pmatrix},$$

for all  $s \in S$ . In this case,  $\Phi_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) are also  $*$ -representations.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbb{C}^n$  be the representation space of  $\Phi$ , and let  $W$  be a  $\mathbb{C}S$ -invariant subspace of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . We consider  $W^\perp = \{x \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid \langle W, x \rangle = 0\}$ , where  $\langle \bullet, \bullet \rangle$  is the standard inner product. We show that  $W^\perp$  is also  $\mathbb{C}S$ -invariant. Suppose  $x \in W^\perp$ . Then, for any  $w \in W$  and  $s \in S$ , we have

$$\langle w, x\Phi(\sigma_s) \rangle = w\Phi(\sigma_s)^*x^* = w\Phi(\sigma_{s^*})x^* = \langle w\Phi(\sigma_{s^*}), x \rangle = 0$$

since  $w\Phi(\sigma_{s^*}) \in W$ . This means that  $W^\perp$  is also  $\mathbb{C}S$ -invariant.

Now we choose orthonormal bases of  $W$  and  $W^\perp$  and combine them to get an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . We make a unitary matrix  $U$  by the orthonormal basis. Then we have a decomposition of  $\Phi$ . It is clear that  $\Phi_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) satisfy  $*$ -condition.  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 2.5.* By Lemma 2.7, we have irreducible decompositions of  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  by unitary matrices. Since they are similar, the assertion holds by Lemma 2.6.  $\square$

### 3. FROBENIUS-SCHUR THEOREM

For  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(S)$ , we define

$$\nu_2(\chi) = \frac{m_\chi}{|X|\chi(1)} \sum_{s \in S} \frac{1}{n_s} \chi(\sigma_s^2)$$

and call this number the *Frobenius-Schur indicator* of  $\chi$ .

For  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(S)$ , we say that

- $\chi$  is of the *first kind* if  $\chi$  is afforded by a real representation,
- $\chi$  is of the *second kind* if  $\chi$  is real and not afforded by a real representation, and
- $\chi$  is of the *third kind* if  $\chi$  is not real,

where we say that  $\chi$  is *real* if  $\chi(\sigma_s) \in \mathbb{R}$  for all  $s \in S$ , and a representation  $\Phi$  is *real* if  $\Phi(\sigma_s)$  are matrices over  $\mathbb{R}$  for all  $s \in S$ . Obviously, a real representation affords a real character.

We will prove Frobenius-Schur Theorem for association schemes.

**Theorem 3.1** (Frobenius-Schur Theorem [1, (7.5)]). *Let  $\chi$  be an irreducible character of an association scheme  $(X, S)$ . Then  $\nu_2(\chi) \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$  and*

- $\nu_2(\chi) = 1$  if and only if  $\chi$  is of the first kind,
- $\nu_2(\chi) = -1$  if and only if  $\chi$  is of the second kind, and
- $\nu_2(\chi) = 0$  if and only if  $\chi$  is of the third kind.

We will prove Theorem 3.1 by the following way :

- If  $\chi$  is of the first kind, then  $\nu_2(\chi) = 1$  (Lemma 3.2).
- If  $\chi$  is of the third kind, then  $\nu_2(\chi) = 0$  (Lemma 3.2).
- If  $\chi$  is of the first or second kind, then  $\nu_2(\chi) \in \{-1, 1\}$  (Lemma 3.3).
- If  $\nu_2(\chi) = 1$ , then  $\chi$  is of the first kind (Lemma 3.4).

Combining these facts, we can prove Theorem 3.1.

**Lemma 3.2.** *If  $\chi$  is of the third kind, then  $\nu_2(\chi) = 0$ . If  $\chi$  is of the first kind, then  $\nu_2(\chi) = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\Phi$  be a representation affording  $\chi$ . We may assume that  $\Phi$  satisfies  $*$ -condition. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu_2(\chi) &= \frac{m_\chi}{|X|\chi(1)} \sum_{s \in S} \frac{1}{n_s} \chi(\sigma_s^2) = \frac{m_\chi}{|X|\chi(1)} \sum_{s \in S} \frac{1}{n_s} \text{trace}(\Phi(\sigma_s)\Phi(\sigma_s)) \\
&= \frac{m_\chi}{|X|\chi(1)} \sum_{s \in S} \frac{1}{n_s} \sum_{i=1}^{\chi(1)} \sum_{j=1}^{\chi(1)} \Phi(\sigma_s)_{ij} \Phi(\sigma_s)_{ji} \\
&= \frac{m_\chi}{|X|\chi(1)} \sum_{s \in S} \frac{1}{n_s} \sum_{i=1}^{\chi(1)} \sum_{j=1}^{\chi(1)} \overline{\Phi(\sigma_{s^*})_{ji}} \Phi(\sigma_s)_{ji}.
\end{aligned}$$

Suppose that  $\chi$  is of the third kind. Then  $\Phi$  and  $\overline{\Phi}$  are non-similar. Thus  $\nu_2(\chi) = 0$  by Schur relations ([1, (3.8)] or [3, Theorem 4.2.4]).

Suppose that  $\chi$  is of the first kind. By Remark after the proof of Proposition 2.1,  $\chi$  is afforded by a real  $*$ -representation. Hence we assume that  $\Phi$  is a real  $*$ -representation. Then, again by Schur relations, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu_2(\chi) &= \frac{m_\chi}{|X|\chi(1)} \sum_{s \in S} \frac{1}{n_s} \sum_{i=1}^{\chi(1)} \sum_{j=1}^{\chi(1)} \overline{\Phi(\sigma_{s^*})_{ji}} \Phi(\sigma_s)_{ji} \\
&= \frac{m_\chi}{|X|\chi(1)} \sum_{s \in S} \frac{1}{n_s} \sum_{i=1}^{\chi(1)} \sum_{j=1}^{\chi(1)} \Phi(\sigma_{s^*})_{ji} \Phi(\sigma_s)_{ji} \\
&= \frac{m_\chi}{|X|\chi(1)} \sum_{s \in S} \frac{1}{n_s} \sum_{i=1}^{\chi(1)} \Phi(\sigma_{s^*})_{ii} \Phi(\sigma_s)_{ii} \\
&= \frac{m_\chi}{|X|\chi(1)} \chi(1) \frac{|X|}{m_\chi} = 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the lemma holds.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** *Suppose that  $\chi$  is of the first or second kind. Let  $\Phi$  be a  $*$ -representation affording  $\chi$ . Then there is a unitary matrix  $U$  such that  $\overline{\Phi} = U^{-1}\Phi U$ . In this case,  $U^\top = \nu_2(\chi)U$  and  $\nu_2(\chi) \in \{-1, 1\}$ .*

*Proof.* By assumption,  $\overline{\Phi}$  and  $\Phi$  are similar. Thus there is a unitary matrix  $U$  such that  $\overline{\Phi} = U^{-1}\Phi U$ . By  $\overline{\Phi(\sigma_{s^*})} = U^{-1}\Phi(\sigma_{s^*})U$ , we have  $\Phi(\sigma_s) = \overline{U}^{-1}\overline{\Phi(\sigma_s)}\overline{U}$ . Thus

$$\overline{\Phi(\sigma_s)} = U^{-1}\overline{U}^{-1}\overline{\Phi(\sigma_s)}\overline{U}U = (\overline{U}U)^{-1}\overline{\Phi(\sigma_s)}(\overline{U}U).$$

This equation holds for all  $s \in S$  and  $\Phi(\sigma_s)$  ( $s \in S$ ) span  $M_{\chi(1)}(\mathbb{C})$ , we can write  $\overline{U}U = \alpha E$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ . Since  $U$  is unitary, we have  $U = \alpha U^\top = \alpha^2 U$ . Therefore  $\alpha^2 = 1$  and  $\alpha = \pm 1$ .

We will show that  $\alpha = \nu_2(\chi)$ . We put  $U = (u_{ij})$ . By definition,  $u_{ji} = \alpha u_{ij}$ . By Schur relations, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \nu_2(\chi) &= \frac{m_\chi}{|X|\chi(1)} \sum_{s \in S} \frac{1}{n_s} \sum_{i=1}^{\chi(1)} \sum_{j=1}^{\chi(1)} \overline{\Phi(\sigma_{s^*})_{ji}} \Phi(\sigma_s)_{ji} \\
 &= \frac{m_\chi}{|X|\chi(1)} \sum_{s \in S} \frac{1}{n_s} \sum_{i=1}^{\chi(1)} \sum_{j=1}^{\chi(1)} \sum_{k=1}^{\chi(1)} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\chi(1)} \overline{u_{kj}} \Phi(\sigma_{s^*})_{k\ell} u_{\ell i} \Phi(\sigma_s)_{ji} \\
 &= \frac{m_\chi}{|X|\chi(1)} \sum_{i=1}^{\chi(1)} \sum_{j=1}^{\chi(1)} \overline{u_{ij}} u_{ji} \sum_{s \in S} \frac{1}{n_s} \Phi(\sigma_{s^*})_{ij} \Phi(\sigma_s)_{ji} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\chi(1)} \sum_{i=1}^{\chi(1)} \sum_{j=1}^{\chi(1)} \overline{u_{ij}} u_{ji} = \frac{\alpha}{\chi(1)} \sum_{i=1}^{\chi(1)} \sum_{j=1}^{\chi(1)} \overline{u_{ij}} u_{ij} \\
 &= \frac{\alpha}{\chi(1)} \text{trace}(\overline{U}U^\top) = \frac{\alpha}{\chi(1)} \text{trace}(E) = \alpha.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\alpha = \nu_2(\chi)$  holds.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** *If  $\nu_2(\chi) = 1$ , then  $\chi$  is of the first kind.*

*Proof.* Let  $\Phi$  be a  $*$ -representation affording  $\chi$ . Suppose  $\nu_2(\chi) = 1$ . There is a unitary matrix  $U$  such that  $\overline{\Phi} = U^{-1}\Phi U$  and  $U^\top = U$  by Lemma 3.3. By [2, Lemma 4.18], there is a non-singular matrix  $V$  such that  $U = V\overline{V}^{-1}$ . Now  $\overline{\Phi(\sigma_s)} = \overline{V}V^{-1}\Phi(\sigma_s)V\overline{V}^{-1}$  and so  $\overline{V}^{-1}\overline{\Phi(\sigma_s)}\overline{V} = V^{-1}\Phi(\sigma_s)V$  for all  $s \in S$ . This means that the representation  $V^{-1}\Phi V$  is a real representation.  $\square$

Now Theorem 3.1 was proved.

**Corollary 3.5.** *We have  $\sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(S)} \nu_2(\chi)\chi(1) = \#\{s \in S \mid s = s^*\}$ .*

*Proof.* We denote by  $\gamma$  the standard character of  $(X, S)$ . Then

$$\sum_{s \in S} \frac{1}{n_s} \gamma(\sigma_s^2) = \sum_{s \in S} \frac{1}{n_s} \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(S)} m_\chi \chi(\sigma_s^2) = \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(S)} m_\chi \nu_2(\chi) \frac{|X|\chi(1)}{m_\chi}$$

and

$$\sum_{s \in S} \frac{1}{n_s} \gamma(\sigma_s^2) = \sum_{s \in S} \frac{1}{n_s} p_{ss}^1 |X| = \sum_{s=s^*} |X| = |X| \cdot \#\{s \in S \mid s = s^*\}.$$

Thus the statement holds.  $\square$

**Example 3.6.** Let  $(X, S)$  be a noncommutative association scheme with  $|S| = 6$ . Then we can set  $\text{Irr}(S) = \{\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3\}$ ,  $\chi_1(1) = \chi_2(1) = 1$  and  $\chi_3(1) = 2$ . Since there is a trivial character, we can see that  $\nu_2(\chi_1) = \nu_2(\chi_2) = 1$ . Also we have  $\overline{\chi_3} = \chi_3$ . Suppose  $\nu_2(\chi_3) = -1$ . Then  $\#\{s \in S \mid s = s^*\} = 1 + 1 - 2 = 0$ , but this is impossible since  $1 = 1^*$ . Hence  $\nu_2(\chi_3) = 1$ . Now we can see that  $\#\{s \in S \mid s = s^*\} = 1 + 1 + 2 = 4$  and  $\chi_3$  is afforded by a real representation.

## REFERENCES

- [1] D. G. Higman. Coherent configurations. I. Ordinary representation theory. *Geometriae Dedicata*, 4(1):1–32, 1975.
- [2] I. M. Isaacs. *Character theory of finite groups*. Academic Press [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Publishers], New York, 1976.
- [3] P.-H. Zieschang. *An algebraic approach to association schemes*, volume 1628 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.

*E-mail address:* hanaki@shinshu-u.ac.jp (Akihide Hanaki)